

## CONSTANTIN LUFT (04/2024)

constantin.luft@hu-berlin.de  
constantin.luft@uni-muenster.de

### Editorship

“Fictions in Law, Literature, and Philosophy – New Perspectives”. Special Issue of *Law and Literature*. New York: Routledge 2024 (co-authored with Nursan Celik and Laura Zander, forthcoming).

### Journal Articles/Book Chapters

“Editors’ Introduction: Fictions in Law, Literature, and Philosophy”. In *Fictions in Law, Literature, and Philosophy – New Perspectives*. Special Issue of *Law and Literature*. New York: Routledge 2024 (co-authored with Nursan Celik and Laura Zander, forthcoming).

“What’s in A Name? Legal Fictions and Philosophical Fictionalism”. In *Fictions in Law, Literature, and Philosophy – New Perspectives*. Special Issue of *Law and Literature*. New York: Routledge 2024 (forthcoming).

“Rechtsfiktionen und Fiktionale Rede. Überlegungen im Anschluss an Wolfgang Kühne”. In *Ebenen des Verstehens. Wolfgang Kühne im Gespräch*. Martin Hoffmann & Tobias Martin (eds.). Leiden/Paderborn: Brill/Mentis Press 2024, 121–137 (co-authored with Dominique Dos Santos Ferreira) [with a reply by Wolfgang Kühne] [Legal Fictions and Fictional Speech. Building on Wolfgang Kühne].

“Justitias Blinder Fleck: Antisemitismus, Adorno und das AGG”. In: *Zeitliche Dimensionen und Kritische Theorie(n) des Rechts (ARSP-Beiheft)*. Esther Neuhann & Claudia Wirsing et al. (eds.). Stuttgart: Franz Steiner Press 2023, 343–363 (co-authored with Jeremias Düring) [Iustitia’s Blind Spot: Anti-Semitism, Adorno and the General Act on Equal Treatment].

“Schillernde Gegenrechte. Eine begriffliche Topographie des neuen Rechts”. *Archiv für Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie* 2023 (3), 375–399 (co-authored with Nils Buchholz) [with a reply by Christoph Menke] [Ambiguous Counter-Rights. A Conceptual Map of the New Law].

“Wissenschaftliche Rechtsdogmatik? System, Systematizität und das Demarkationsproblem”. *Zeitschrift für Rechtswissenschaftliche Forschung* (1) 2023, 1–43 [Scientific Legal Doctrine? System, Systematicity and the Demarcation Problem].

“The right to bequeath as a common legal power”. In *Inheritance and the Right to Bequeath: Legal and Philosophical Perspectives*. Hans-Christoph Schmidt am Busch, Daniel Halliday & Thomas Gutmann (eds.). Abingdon, Oxon/New York: Routledge 2022, 76–94 (co-authored with Thomas Gutmann).

“What can’t be digitized. Ein rechtsphilosophisches Argument für die partielle KI-Resistenz der Anwaltschaft”. In *Legal Tech. Fluch oder Segen für die Anwaltschaft?* Clemens Hunfeld et al. (eds.). Göttingen: Sievers & Partner 2022, 167–188 [What can’t be digitized. A philosophical argument for why the legal profession might be partially resistant to AI].

“Wer denken will, fliegt raus. Eine ‚absolventische‘ Kritik am ersten juristischen Staatsexamen“. *Kritische Justiz* (4) 2021, 423–434 (co-authored with Daniel Heymann und Hannah Reith) [Anyone Who Wants to Think gets Kicked Out. A Critique of the First State Examination Written by its Graduates].

“Der Methodenstreit in der analytischen Rechtsphilosophie“. *JURA* 43 (12) 2021, 1418–1425 [The Methodology Dispute in Analytic Jurisprudence].

“Carens’s Cantilever Argument: Global Freedom of Movement, Logical Necessity and the Burden of Proof“. In *Joseph Carens. Between Aliens and Citizens*. Mahhias Hoesch & Nadine Mooren (eds.). Cham: Springer 2020, 161–179 (co-authored with Jeremias Düring) [with a reply by Joseph Carens].

“Die Tilgungsbestimmung – Das unbekannte Wesen“. *Zeitschrift für das Juristische Studium* (2) 2020, 113–120 (co-authored with Sönke Engelke) [The Redemption Determination in Private Law – An Unknown Entity].

“Zu viel versprochen? Die Bindung an den Vertrag als moralisches Versprechen“. *Studentische Zeitschrift für Rechtswissenschaft – Wissenschaft Online* (2) 2019, 223–258 [Promised to Much? The Bindingness of Contract as a Moral Promise].

“Die ‘margin of appreciation’-Doktrin. Europäische Besonderheit oder generelles Prinzip?“ *Der Jurist* 2019, 147–175 [The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine. European Peculiarity or General Principle?].

## Miscellaneous

“Weltumspannende Vernichtungsfantasien: Warum die rechtliche Missbilligung von antisemitischen und rassistischen Kunstwerken unterscheidbar sein sollte“. *Verfassungsblog*, 22/2/2023 (co-authored with Lukas Daum and Jeremias Düring) [Global will to annihilate. Why the legal disapproval of anti-semitic and racist artworks should be distinguishable].

“Jura-Bachelor? Mehr Denkverkehr wagen!“ *F.A.Z. Einspruch* 22/7/2022 (co-authored with Daniel Heymann and Hannah Reith) [Bachelor of Laws? More communication of thoughts, please!].

“Verdient Künstliche Intelligenz irgendwann die Anwaltszulassung?“ *F.A.Z. Einspruch* 5/1/2022 [Will AI eventually earn admission to the bar?].

“Der Strohmann ist ein Chamäleon. Was Rechtspositivistinnen behaupten (und was nicht)“. *Forum Recht* (1) 2019, 5–9 [The Straw Man is a Chameleon. What Legal Positivists Claim (and What They Don’t)].

## Draft Papers (Work in Progress)

Ius Nullius? Toward a Symmetry Argument regarding Rights for Past and Future People.

The Passage of Law? Theorizing Limitation (co-authored with Antonio Ruiz Arranz).

Concepts Worth Obeying: A Razian Service Conception for Metaphilosophy.

Fixing LAW? Conceptual Engineering Meets General Jurisprudence.

What (If Anything) Is Wrong With Legal Fictions?

## Selected Talks

Fixing LAW? Conceptual Engineering Meets General Jurisprudence. Berlin Legal Theory Seminar (Prof. Tim Wihl and Dr. Johan Horst). 2024 (Humboldt University Berlin) [upcoming]

The Passage of Law? Theorizing Limitation. Colloquium Private Law and Theory (Prof. Gerhard Wagner). 2024 (Humboldt University Berlin) [upcoming]

The Passage of Law? Theorizing Limitation. Colloquium of Prof. Nils Jansen. 2023 (Institute for Advanced Study *Wissenschaftskolleg* Berlin) [invited]

Ius Nullius? Toward a Symmetry Argument regarding Rights for Past and Future People. Global Constitutionalism Colloquium (Prof. Mattias Kumm). 2023 (WZB: Berlin Social Science Center) [invited]

Wissenschaftliche Rechtsdogmatik? System, Systematizität und das Demarkationsproblem. Colloquium of the Department "Multidisciplinary Legal Theory" (Prof. Marietta Auer). 2022 (MPI Frankfurt) [invited] [Scientific Legal Doctrine? System, Systematicity and the Demarcation Problem]

What's in a Name? Legal Fictions and Philosophical Fictionalism. Workshop "Fictions in Law, Literature and Philosophy" at the Collaborative Research Centre "Law and Literature". 2021 (University of Münster) [invited]

Antisemitismus und Rechtssystem. Project „Kontra Antisemitismus“. 2021 (University of Münster) [Anti-Semitism and the Legal System]

Ein subjektives „Recht zu vererben“? Das Problem der postmortalen Rechtsverletzung. International Conference "The Right to Bequeath". 2019 (University of Braunschweig) [invited] [A Right to Bequeath? The Problem Of Posthumous Infringement Of Rights]

Whose Business? Which Sovereignty? Raz On Human Rights. Colloquium at the Münster Lectures in Philosophy with Joseph Raz 2017 (University of Münster)